# Homework 4: Locking, fork and pipes

Answer the following questions.

#### 1 Locks

```
1.
  struct list *ready_list; // global
  struct thread *cur_thread; // global
  void schedule1 () {
      unsigned status = interrupt_disable();
      push_list(ready_list, cur_thread);
      schedule();
      set_interrupt_status(status);
  }
  void schedule () {
      struct thread *prev = cur_thread;
      struct thread *next = pop_list(ready_list);
      cur_thread = next;
      context_switch(prev, next);
  }
```

Write the pseudocodes of cond\_wait and cond\_signal. You can use cur\_thread, ready\_list, schedule1, and schedule function directly in your pseudocode. [1]

#### 2.

```
void release(struct lock *1) {
    unsigned status = interrupt_disable();
    struct thread *t = list_pop(l->wait_list);
    if (t)
        list_push(ready_list, t);
    set_interrupt_status(status);
    1 \rightarrow value = 1;
}
```

Is this lock implementation correct? If the answer is no, explain using an example. If the answer is yes, what is the advantage of restoring interrupt status after 1->value is set to one as discussed in the class. [1]

## 2 Fork [2 marks]

Execute the following program. getpid() returns the pid of the current process. getppid() returns the pid of the parent process.

```
#include <unistd.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <sys/wait.h>
int main ()
{
  int pid, i, status;
 printf ("main %d parent %d\n", getpid(), getppid());
  for (i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
   pid = fork ();
    if (pid < 0) {
     printf ("Unable to fork\n");
     return 0;
    }
    if (pid != 0) {
        waitpid (pid, &status, 0);
    }
 }
 printf ("process %d (parent %d) is terminating\n", getpid(), getppid());
  return 0;
}
```

#### 2.1 Turn in:

- The output of the program.
- Draw a tree of the parent-child relationships. A node of the tree contains the pid of the process. A directed edge between two nodes represents the parent-child relationship. E.g., 10 -> 11 means process with pid 10 is the parent of the process with pid 11.

## 3 Pipes [2 Marks]

The routine below is trying to implement ''ls | wc -l''. You need to use close and dup system calls to redirect the STDOUT of ls to the STDIN of wc. The effect of running your modified code should be same as running the command ''ls | wc -l''. You have to make changes before both the execv system calls.

### 3.1 Turn in:

- What are your changes before the first execv (marked as patch-1)?
- What are your changes before the second execv (marked as patch-2)?

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <unistd.h>
//ls | wc -l
int main()
{
   int pid;
   int fd[2];
   int ret;
   ret = pipe (fd);
    if (ret == -1) {
        printf ("Unable to create pipe\n");
        return 0;
    }
   pid = fork ();
    if (pid == 0) {
        /* Verify that ls exists at /bin/ls */
        /* to verify run: which ls */
        char* const args[] = {"/bin/ls", NULL};
        /* write your code here */
        /* patch-1 */
        ret = execv (args[0], args);
        /* NOT REACHED*/
        printf ("failed to exec ls\n");
    }
    else if (pid > 0) {
        /* Verify that wc exists at /usr/bin/wc */
        /* to verify run: which wc */
        char* const args[] = {"/usr/bin/wc", "-1", NULL};
        /* write your code here */
        /* patch-2 */
        execv (args[0], args);
        /* NOT REACHED*/
        printf ("failed to exec wc\n");
   }
    else {
        printf ("Unable to fork\n");
    }
    return 0;
}
```

# How to submit

Submit your handwritten homework in the submission box placed at the old academic building (2nd floor). The box will be placed on days when the homework is due.